



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Biotechnology Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_50629
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Cultural Conditions on Biosurfactant production by Candida sp. isolated from the sap of Elaeis guineensis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlights that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Corrections were marked in ms	Firstly, I appreciate your effort in taking time to review this manuscript. All the corrections have been taken care of and were also highlighted, except in: i. Line 79 where we have "and", which is appropriate for the sentence. ii. Line 89 where we have "PDA" as the name of the media, which was written in full in Lines 81 and 82, and iii. Line 96 where we have 'germ tube test' which is appropriate for the sentence, because germ tube test is a screening procedure for the identification of <i>Candida albicans</i>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	