



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_48462</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Clientele Satisfaction of extension services provided by KVKs of Meghalaya</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>No major revision comment</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1. The sentence in line 107-108 is grammatically incorrect or something is missing, particularly in statement "was relatively was". 2. "Table 5" in lines 174, 195, 205 and 222 should be "Table 4". 3. Recheck the Spearman's correlation coefficient of 1.36 (Education) in Table 5 since the coefficient is just between -1 and 1. In addition, the coefficient is usually written without "0" before the decimal point (i.e., -.048, .052, .241, .399, and .358). There is also a need for further explanation on the use of Spearman's correlation for the relationship between satisfaction and sex, which is a nominal or categorical variable. 4. Reference No. 19 (line 348) was not cited in the text and therefore should be removed from the reference list. (Or check if the citation in line 272 is really "[18]" or should be "[19]").	1. Rectified 2. Corrected to table 4 3. Re analysed and Corrected (.136) 4. Corrected 18 was 19
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	