SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_49861**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PRODUCTION OF KUNAPAJALA, ITS NUTRITIONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, MICROBIAL AND PESTICIDE EFFECT**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have extensively read the article and my overall impression is positive about the manuscript. My suggestion for the manuscript is: **Accept with Minor Revision**. The author wrote 56 references. This number is too high and should be decreased as possible according to the regulations of the Journal Read the References part carefully again and compare with the text. It should be equal Follow the syntax to create well-formed sentences **All comments are mentioned in the manuscript**	Noted and corrected
Minor REVISION comments	**Accept with Minor Revision**. **All comments are mentioned in the manuscript**	
Optional/General comments	**Accept with Minor Revision**. **All comments are mentioned in the manuscript**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	