



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50380
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate-Smart Agricultural Practices in Agro-Climatic Zones of Meghalaya: A Social Network Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, from the Abstract to the References. However, few corrections could be effected.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The Journal write-up could be put in the format that is acceptable for this Journal (CJAST), The format for denoting the references with the body of the work [] and at the end could be put into f use.</p>	The manuscript has been modified
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Between Lines 10 and 11: Could put in brackets (SNA) as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Network Analysis (SNA) of the community ; - 'd' could be added to words as - was 'utilised' for ; were to be 'removed' many farmers ; - Last sentence under Abstract Results could be re-written as follows - The impact of the social networks in both of the ACZs were highest (68.30%) under low, and (63.30%) under the medium adoption levels of CSA practices in the Tropical and Sub-tropical ACZs. - In the last sentence in Abstract Conclusion, could change 'will be' to 'could be' as – and policy makers could be able to <p>2. Line 31: Could add 's' as – personnels were the main</p> <p>3. Line 39: Could delete 's' from values as – assign value to relationships</p> <p>4. Line 40: Could change 'make' up to 'made' up of a set of actors</p> <p>5. Line 41: Could insert 'to' as - SNA was referred to as social</p> <p>6. Line 44: Could change to - how people 'have' either weak or</p> <p>7. Line 45: Could change to - Could change to - and 'to' identify t he gaps in' the' networks.</p> <p>8. Line 50: 'd' could be added to words as - acknowledged the use</p> <p>9. Line 76: Could put (CSA) in full as - Table 1. Common existing Climate Smart Agricultural (CSA) technologies practiced in the study area</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 's' could be added to method as 10. Integrating of scientific and indigenous methods <p>10. Line 107: (PMA) could be put after adaptation as - adaptation (PMA) practices developed by (16).</p> <p>11. Line 119: Could delete 'of' as – in 28 and 4 in Tropical ACZ and</p> <p>12. Line 133: Could put (SHGs) in full as - Kendra (KVK) and ???? (SHGs) can</p> <p>13. Line 140: Could be disjointed as – networks were</p> <p>14. Line 142: Could change 'intermediate'. to' intermediary'.</p> <p>15. Lines 147 ; 148; 154: Could add 'd' as – experienced any ; provoked betweeness; improved Betweeness</p> <p>16. Line 158: effect changes as - central farmers or nodes could shatter the whole social network.</p> <p>17. Line 162: Could change 'community' do tend - to 'communities' do tend</p> <p>18. Line 168: Could be put as - farmers to other farmers, as farmers who were of</p> <p>19. In Line 169: Could add 's' to two ACZ as – two ACZs tend to</p> <p>20. In Lines 177 and 188: Could add 'by farmers to the headings as -</p> <p>3.3. Adaptation or performance of Climate Smart Agricultural (CSA) practices by farmers</p>	Corrections have been done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Table 4. Adaptation/ Performance of Climate Smart Agricultural (CSA) practices by farmers</p> <p>21. Line 179: Could add 'd' to categorise' and put (low medium and high) as - been categorised into three levels (low medium and high) (Table 4).</p> <p>22. Lines 180 to 183 could be reframed as – In this study it was observed that the highest percentage of the farmers in the Tropical ACZ (68.30%) were in the low level of adaptation, while in the case of Sub-tropical ACZ, majority of the farmers (63.30 %), were under medium level of CSA practices.</p> <p>23. Line 194: Could add 's' as - are sources of climate</p> <p>24. Line 202: Could put comma ',' after characteristics, implying</p> <p>25. Line 207: Could change 'will' to 'could' as - and policy makers could' be</p> <p>26. Line 210: Could add 'S' as - REFERENCES</p> <p>27. Line 231: Could start state with capital letter as – Adamawa State,Nigeria.</p> <p>28. Line 238: Could delete 'et al.'</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A very good write-up.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	I have made the corrections in the manuscript and highlighted the corrected part.