



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50796
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Heat Tolerant Variety (Kufri Surya) of Potato (Solanum tuberosum) Under Different Levels of Nitrogen
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Introduction requires some changes as mentioned on the body of text. Materials and methods 2. Why spacing is so less. generally it is 60 x20-25cm 3. Why only two irrigations have been given to the crop? 4. Mention the time of harvesting 5. Give the procedure which you have adopted in the study only. Also mention the reference.</p> <p>Results 1. Why the higher net assimilation rate did not resulted in higher yield with application of N.</p>	<p>1.The changes have been made in the corrected document. 2. Due to occurrence of land availability the spacing was less and in West Bengal generally farmers follow 45x 15 cm spacing. 3. It has been already mentioned. 4.It has been given in the corrected document. Results 1. Due to occurrence of Phoma spp. at 60 DAP and afterwards the tuber size was affected due to poor vegetative growth . So even if the crop showed higher net assimilation rate at 60 DAP the yield was poor afterwards.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Check the references in text and incorporated them in list of references. Check the comments on body of text and make suitable changes.</p>	<p>Yes checked. It has been done.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>More recent references should be included in MS.</p>	<p>It has been incorporated in the corrected document.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Nil.