



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50867
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PROVENANCE VARIATIONS ON THE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF TERMINALIA IVORENSIS (A CHEV)
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In your write up there appear some disjoint: no smooth flow of thought. For example see line 32 and 33. Map of the study area will add colour to this work.	Noted
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This is experimental design work, or field work , one expects 1-2 cross sections in plates. When these areas and as spelt out in the work is taken into consideration, I think the work is good to go.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	