



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50955
Title of the Manuscript:	Spirituality and Psychological Well-being of Elderly: Gender Perspective
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper was clearly written in most parts. Just a few comments to further improve the presentation of the paper:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the abstract, i) name the scales used to measure the outcomes, ii) irrespective of institutionalizations, can be written as.....irrespective of settings, (similarly in the discussion, when comparing the settings). 2. In the Introduction; the objectives in the last part of the introduction, should be written embedded in the last paragraph of the introduction in full text instead on in point forms. 3. General comments: i) A few missing references in the introduction, methodology and discussion, ii) a few typo errors in the paper that need to be carefully checked. 	The suggestions have been incorporated in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>