



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_50955
Title of the Manuscript:	Spirituality and Psychological Well-being of Elderly: Gender Perspective
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In the section of Methodology please provide: 1. An explanation of what SRA means 2. The age of the sample. 3. The citation for :” Psychological well-being of the respondents was assessed by using Psychological Well Being scale by Dr. Devendra Singh Sisodia and Ms. Pooja Choudhary.”	The suggestions have been incorporated in the manuscript
Optional/General comments	It will be interesting to examine the relationship between spirituality and psychological well-being of the elderly. The manuscript “ Spirituality and Psychological Well-being of Elderly: Gender Perspective ” deals with interesting topics, it is well structured and easy to read.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	