



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Chemical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_49886
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF SELECTED HEAVY METALS IN TOBACCO TREE SHRUBS GROWING AROUND DANDORA DUMPSITE, NAIROBI, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The aim of the paper was estimation of contaminants (heavy metals - Pb, Cd and Cr) impact from the uncontrolled dumpsite onto the soil and tobacco tree shrubs in the surrounding area. The soil and leaves of tobacco tree were sampled at the several sites from the centre of the dumpsite and away from it. The levels of Pb, Cr and Cd have been determined both in washed and unwashed leaves as well as in the soil. It was found that concentrations of lead, chromium and cadmium in the soil and plants around the dumpsite are above the recommended limits.</p> <p>This research could encourage controlling and governing the municipal waste in the dumpsite or its relocation at uninhabited area. Also it can be helpful in prohibiting the use of waste as manure by local farmers or plant farming near the waste dumpsite.</p> <p>There are grammatical errors (extra spaces, missing spaces) through the whole manuscript. There are some missing references both in the text and reference list. Minor editing of English language is required.</p> <p>Methodology could be written more clearly and presentation of the results should be improved. The detail comments and suggestion are given directly into manuscript (pdf file attached).</p>	Grammatical errors through the whole manuscript, missing references and minor editing of the English language have been rectified, hope it is now ok.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	