



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_50787
Title of the Manuscript:	Corrosion behavior of welded joints of X70 pipeline steel produced by high-frequency welding
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please check grammar throughout the manuscript</p> <p>Figure 1: Authors should show the magnification and scale in the microstructure diagram.</p> <p>The discussion of the results with other literature is woefully missing</p>	All comments of reviewers were taken into account
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Mathematical equations should be labelled</p> <p>Abstract: include how the determination of the corrosion was done</p>	In the abstract indicates that corrosion was evaluated by electrochemical methods, so the question is not entirely clear to me.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	