



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | European Journal of Medicinal Plants |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_EJMP_50657 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND COMPARATIVE ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITIES OF DIFFERENT FRACTIONS OF SONNERATIA CASEOLARIS (LINN.) BARKS EXTRACTS |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | THE PRESENT MANUSCRIPT DESCRIBES THE PHYTOCHEMICALS SCREENING AND ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITIES OF DIFFERENT FRACTIONS OF SONNERATIA CASEOLARIS BARK EXTRACTS. THE MANUSCRIPT IS WELL WRITTEN AND THE METHODOLOGY ADOPTED WAS FOUND TO BE RELEVANT TO THE OBJECTIVE STATED. IN THE TITLE, IT WAS MENTIONED AS "BARKS" AND IT SHOULD BE CORRECTED AS "BARK". MANY GRAMMATICAL ERRORS WERE OBSERVED THROUGHOUT THE MANUSCRIPT. FOR THE ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE, THIS CONTENT IS NOT SUFFICIENT. TOTAL FLAVONOID CONTENT ALSO SHOULD BE ESTIMATED AND IT WILL GIVE MORE SUPPORT FOR THE OBSERVED ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY. IF THESE CORRECTIONS WILL BE MADE, THE MANUSCRIPT MAY BE ACCEPTED. | Title has been corrected I have tried to solve the grammatical errors according to reviewer's comment. Previously it is reported that bark extract contain flavonoid content. |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |