



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_50657
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND COMPARATIVE ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITIES OF DIFFERENT FRACTIONS OF SONNERATIA CASEOLARIS (LINN.) BARKS EXTRACTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is good in its contents and composition, only needs a little improvement	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract is too long, more than 200 words, do not write repeated ethanol fractions (ETFs), ethyl acetate (EAF), chloroform (CLF) and pet ether (PTF) for the second time, henceforth enough abbreviations only 185 GAE/gram or 185.00 ? two decimals consistent with the other. Keywords are usually arranged in alphabetical</p> <p>In row 51, API, for the first time an abbreviation must be written in full followed by an abbreviation in parentheses In row 54 fine powder, how much size is the mesh? In row 57 Whiteman, should be Whatman ? In row 88 : 0,5 ml of Benedict's, Too small volume, should be 5 ml of Benedict's reagent In row 133 You should move the result to the next page so that the table is not interrupted. In row 147, 164 and 191 tabel and figure should be Tabel , Figure, capitalized each word. In row 165 and 192, I recommend that Tabel 3 and Table 5 move to the next page so that it is not interrupted</p>	I have tried my best to correct all minor errors.
Optional/General comments	There are 12 from 19 references out of date (over ten years)	



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	