



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_50139
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE MINERAL COMPOSITIONS AND ORGANOLEPTIC PROPERTIES OF FERMENTED AND EXTRUDED RIPE PLANTAIN AND GROUNDNUT BLEND
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In the sensory evaluation method, it should be clarify that the 15 judges were trained or untrained.</p> <p>There are typographic errors in the manuscript, and the authors need careful attention of these.</p> <p>The discussion section needs some improvement. The authors should describe disadvantages, when deficiency occurs of Na, K. Ca, Zn and Fe in fermented and extruded samples.</p> <p>Please, write the statistical analysis design, test for means comparisons and software.</p>	<p>Typos errors corrected and effected in the MS</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Statistical section improved</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	