



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_50305
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality evaluation of tea brewed from blends of soursop (Annona muricata) and moringa (Moringa oleifera) leaves.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments -	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The introduction part is too small</li> <li>- Language need editing all over the research</li> <li>- Method is vague especially table one</li> <li>- Chemical analysis is so weak as the authors only refer to the reference with no explained method or any validation in the method, or the kits when using the instruments like ELISA.</li> <li>- What about the adulteration of the green tea (commercial materials) is they contains the same materials with same concentration.</li> <li>- What is the amount should be taken by the individual every day as a protective from the disease (need clarification)</li> <li>- Discussion need to be more specific on the target.</li> </ul>	<p>Introduction was revised.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-</li> <li>-Table 1 was revised</li> <li>- Chemical analyses were described in details.</li> </ul> <p>-Data from this study has been compared with that of green tea from (Camella sinensis) as reported by other researchers in literature.</p> <p>-Daily recommended intake depends on each nutrient composition as reported in the result and discussion.</p> <p>-Findings were further discussed.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	- Language and grammar need editing	-Grammar has been edited
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	