



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_50305
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality evaluation of tea brewed from blends of soursop (Annona muricata) and moringa (Moringa oleifera) leaves.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Minor : moringa and soursop please, provide the latin names as soon as the abstract (as in the title).</p> <p>Minor: to the present reviewer opinion, a column in tables with a reference to the 'control' (= "regular tea" : Camella sinensis leaves infusion???) would have been helpful to adjudge of the advantage(s) of the new proposed beverage. Despite the fact that authors reported in the results some of those information (green tea, black tea compositions in some elements. The data provided by the same authors, in similar conditions would have been more convincing.</p> <p>Minor: just after the table 3, a sentence started by "These values vary from the...". What are we talking about, here? Please, correct.</p> <p>Minor: Figure 1. I would suggest to range the histograms from 100,0 to 0,100. It would be easier to read (and more logical?).</p>	<p>Latin names for soursop and moringa has been added.</p> <p>Equivalent compositional values for Camellia sinensis green tea from previous studies have been included in the Table.</p> <p>The sentence 'These values vary from the...' has been corrected</p> <p>Range of values on the histogram has been rearranged</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Data from this study has been compared with that of green tea from (Camella sinensis) as reported by other researchers in literature</p> <p>The paper entitled "Quality evaluation of tea brewed from blends of soursop (Annona muricata) and moringa (Moringa oleifera) leaves" describes the composition in chemical terms of infusion of several mixtures of Annona muricatai and Moringa oleifera.</p> <p>The paper is clear and straightforward, easy to read and to follow. I would advise on the adding of some sort of comparison with regular tea (as stated in the first sentence of the abstract, the authors propose an alternative to Camella sinensis leaves infusion, thus the comparison should be presented)</p>	<p>This paper has been revised according to the reviewers comments</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	