



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_50475
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Arsenic Content in Different Brands of Rice Sold in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Authors must provide QA/QC measures taken to ensure reliable data. Reagents, standards, manufacturers country and assays must be provided. The equipment specification including manufacturer, country of manufacture and version must be provided. Method of validating your method e.g. analysis of CRM, recovery studies etc. must be provided. Provide details of the brands of rice e.g. Country of manufacture  Other revision comments are made in the manuscript using track changes.	Noted. Other comments on the work have been corrected meticulously following the track changes and colour highlights..This is appreciable.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	References are not appropriately presented in reference list. You used numbers in citing the references but no numbers showed in the reference list. Please visit authors guide for references  Your conclusion needs revision to capture what has been achieved in the studies; findings but not necessarily results. Be mindful of your assertions.	Thanks. it been recast
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues in this work