



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International STD Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_50300
Title of the Manuscript:	Seroprevalence of Treponema pallidum Infection among Cohorts of Pregnant Women Attending Selected Hospital at Northern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The manuscript is quite interesting and scientifically robust but authors should consider the following comments and suggestions to better the manuscript:</b> The manuscript title can be modified to: Seroprevalence of .....Selected Hospitals in Northern Nigeria.</p> <p><b>Abstract</b> -Authors should clearly separate the results from methods. -State the problems of the organism and why the study was carried out. -State the Kit used (Company and country of production). -Check for typographical and grammatical errors. -Clearly differentiate probable factors that were associated with the infection from those not associated statistically. -Reduce using ambiguous terms. -Conclusion statement not clear. Rewrite.</p> <p><b>Keywords:</b> add more keywords (Northern Nigeria; Cohort)</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> -Introduction is very interesting but many paragraphs that need to be reduced due to unnecessary statements. -Introduce all abbreviations in your work before using them. -State the rationale and problems that warrant you to do such study.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b> -Clearly separate your materials and methods into different headings (Study Area, Study Population, Sample collection etc). -Laboratory diagnosis is not written well. Please write it in a scientific way and sequentially.</p> <p>-Check for typographical and grammatical errors. -State the Ethical clearance reference number for the study. -How did you sampled your population (Show how you determined the sample size).</p> <p><b>Results</b> -Too many tables noticed that could form just a single table. -Recheck your calculations. -Check for typographical and grammatical errors. -Remove unnecessary statements. Give reason(s) for each outcome. Use more than one published work to compare/relate your findings with. -Start discussing the general prevalence before the demographics/risk factors. -Use No. Examined rather than No. of samples in your tables. -What is the difference between P value and Fisher value?</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> Repetition of terms (Rephrase your grammar). -State the Limitation of the study and Way Forward. References and in-text citations should be done following Journal's format. Always use recent papers in your work.</p>	<p>The research study was conducted in a single hospital as the study area. The title was adjusted accordingly. Corrected as suggested</p> <p>Done Done Done Done</p> <p>Done Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done Done</p> <p>Done Done Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done Fisher's value was used to combine the results from various independent tests bearing upon the same overall hypothesis, whereas p value is the function of the observed sample results.</p> <p>Done Done</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	