



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_47888
Title of the Manuscript:	A Late Presenting Urachal Remnant Tumour: Rare Adenocarcinoma Originated from Developmental Defect
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The authors have presented an interesting case of urachal remnant adenocarcinoma. I have following comments about the manuscript: 1. The introduction is too short. Please elaborate more and mention the rationale for reporting this case report. 2. Please mention the intraoperative findings in details, operative time and estimated blood loss. 3. What was the postoperative hospital stay and were there any postoperative complications? 4. Whether lymphadenectomy was done? 5. Check the date of last follow up. Its better to remove the dates from the manuscript. Instead mention the duration from the date of surgery. 6. Was cystoscopic biopsy done to confirm the residual disease in the urinary bladder? 7. Please mention the pathological stage of the disease in the index case.	A hearty thanks for your kind review and suggestions. 1. I have revised the controversy and rationale in introduction. 2. Write-up done, as mentioned in the OT note provided by our Urosurgery department. 3. Mentioned and revised it. 4. No other pelvic LND was performed. 5. Dates and durations modified. 6. No cystoscopic biopsy was done postoperatively. Chemotherapy started on the basis of OT note and residuals revealed by radiology. 7. Revise the manuscript... it's IIIC.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1. Language polishing 2. Intraoperative images if available	1. Language reviewed. Sorry...no IO images provided by Urosurgery.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	