



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_47888
Title of the Manuscript:	A Late Presenting Urachal Remnant Tumour: Rare Adenocarcinoma Originated from Developmental Defect
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors present 1 case of a patient with urachus tumor. The literature is abundant on the subject addressed. The case is well presented, however a urological approach is lacking for the case presented. It would be interesting to discuss the types of surgery employed. For example: the advantages and disadvantages of radical surgery versus partial surgery.	Respected sir, I have elaborated the surgical procedure received as the OT note from department of Urosurgery in my revised manuscript. Thank you.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	