



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_43750
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil property variation under different conservation agriculture practices, in Bako Tibe District, West Shoa, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please give the expansion of the abbreviations in the first using. 2. Please insert key words. 3. The writing of some words is wrong (I specified in the text). 4. Introduction section was supported by general and known knowledges. Instead of this, the authors should cited new literatures on this subject. 5. In the Introduction section, the first letters of the authors' names were written. 6. The material and methods section is very poor written. Please give the methods of soil analysis. Please give more information on the experiment and treatments. What the differences between treatments? Which treatment conservation and which treatment conventional? 7. There are no important results in the study. The authors emphasized continuous that the chemical properties of the soils did not differ among the treatments but they can change after four years. This is a wrong judgment and it is not possible to be sure. 8. In page 5, Line 150-158. These values are true in which an organic material with high C/N is added to soil. The C:N ratio of the organic material added to the soil influences the rate of decomposition of organic matter and this results in the release (mineralisation) or immobilization of soil nitrogen. The C/N ratio of the soils does not change much. If the last sentences in this paragraph are true, why did not there be a difference between the treatments in respect to total nitrogen? 	The final edition part accordingly the comment given by reviewer's comments.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The article was not written based on scientific rules. Very few parameters are used in the study and the results are not much important. In the present form, the manuscript relevant improvements are needed to let it suitable for publication. Therefore, I do suggest to the authors to take into account the above points.	