



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_45068
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative Evaluation Of Selected Medicinal Plants For Possible Use As Male Anti Infertility Agents. An Animal Case Study.
Type of the Article	Regular

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract is too long. Replace: The seeds were cut into pieces for fort-eight hours with The seeds were cut into pieces and dried for fort-eight hours</p> <p>Introduction: The 1st paragraph is too long and need more references</p> <p>Material and Methods:</p> <p>1-There is a title for Determination of testicular histology; and the result not included anything about testicular histology.</p> <p>2-There is no title for statistical analysis</p> <p>Result and discussion</p> <p>1-The author did not discussed the results with other studies</p> <p>2-The title of each table should be written above the table immediately.</p> <p>3-The comparison should be between group 2 and the other experimental groups not with the control.</p> <p>4-The author repeated all the experimental groups with details under the table, Why?</p> <p>References need revision, and there is any recent references at all. The most recent in this study reference is from 2010.</p>	Authors very much appreciate the comments of the reviewers. We have corrected the manuscript based on the thoughtful comments of the reviewers.
Minor REVISION comments	The article is need extensive revision.	
Optional/General comments		