



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_48287
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Software for Image Analysis and Calibration of Automated Rain Simulator
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A It is better for the author to separate study area from material and methodology excerpt if it is acceptable by the journal. For me it is better in this format</p> <p>1 Introduction 2 Study Area 3 Material and Methodology 4 Data Presentation 5 Analysis of the data 6 Result and Discussion</p> <p>B What are the source of the equations?</p>	<p>A) We will keep the journal's guidelines;</p> <p>B) The changes have been met and are in the text. The references are found on the 273-274 and citations are found on the lines 91, 100-101.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	There is need for the study area image or map. The geographical location coordinate of the study can be imported to Google Earth.	The description of location has been modified to improve the understanding of the same. Suppressing the passage "belonging to the Rondonópolis Campus of the Federal University of Mato Grosso" and adding the name of the State. Line 48-49.
Optional/General comments	More literature on the use of Able Image Analyser, ImageJ and Safira software should quoted	The changes have been met and are in the text. Lines 132-134, 139-141. The references are found on the lines 284-286, 290-292.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical questions in this experiment.