



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_50455
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality, Yield and Nutrient Uptake of Fenugreek as influenced by Integrated Nutrient Management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I was not able to find the conclusion in the manuscript and which is the final suggestion. There was not appearing the CV in Anova table, which in my opinion is compulsory. Moreover, the author confuses the reader using in kg/ha and q/ha in the same paragraph for the yield.	The manuscript has been modified
Minor REVISION comments	I consider it is important to refer to the tables the coefficient of variation (CV %) for the best estimation of the work. The Latin names should be all of them in italics. Check the references and the gaps between the words.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	