



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_50322
Title of the Manuscript:	HORMONAL CONTRACEPTIVE UPTAKE AND SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PATTERN OF ACCEPTORS IN A FAMILY PLANNING CLINIC OF A TERTIARY HEALTH FACILITY IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None – great paper	Many Thanks for your comments, quite helpful.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>When you refer to Mirena IUCD – it is known as a system so technically should be IUCS – I realize many use IUD terminology for all – but think you may want to amend.</p> <p>Are you aware of the Contraceptive Choice Project findings. In your paper, you refer to prior studies not having the implant as #1 choice. Not sure if you want to include the Contraceptive Choice project done in US</p> <p>In the references, not sure why not using latest WHO – Reference #2 – old – newest WHO guidelines are 2015.</p>	<p>Accepted and changed accordingly.</p> <p>Found it, quite remarkable work; included in manuscript introduction & referenced.</p> <p>Found it and changed accordingly.</p>
Optional/General comments	Typo- under Abstract – first word in conclusion needs a capital letter.	Corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	