



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_50415
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of cancer in the different age groups in Cross River State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Overall clear and concise - Please re-check the duration for cases selected in the abstract and text – January to December or October? <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS</p> <p>Inconsistent report of duration for cases selected in the abstract and text – January to December or October?</p> <p>RESULT</p> <p>Table 2: there are inconsistency data between total number of all cases, and number of male cases only and female cases only</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please re-check total cases of hepatobiliary - Please re-check total no. of others in (all cases), do you include no. of urinary track, ovarian and uterus, all together? If yes, check the total again, and suggest to add *others – e.g. [*others = urinary track, ovarian and uterus + others] as footnote below the table <p>Table 6</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - inconsistency data between total no. of hepatobiliary and eye - Please re-check the total no. others all cases – comments similar as table 2 <p>Table 7</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - inconsistency data of eye - Please re-check the total no. others all cases – comments similar as table 2 <p>Table 8</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ovarian? Ovary? - The total cases for others is 51 (9+30+(stomach + urinary + lung) looks correct. Suggest to add footnotes below the table <p>Table 9</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - inconsistency data of urinary track - Please re-check the total no. others all cases – comments similar as table 2 	<p>Yes it is December 31st .</p> <p>Yes it has been corrected to December 31st in the Abstract.</p> <p>Yes, strictly speaking ,we had to modify the title to accommodate other cases. By including Unknown site, and others it adds up to 100% No, ovary and uterus ,urinary tract are not all together.</p> <p>Yes we have modified the title of table, if you add the frequency of the first ten to the unknown and other sites they add up to 100%</p> <p>The same correction in table 6 will apply for table 7.</p> <p>Yes it is ovary and has been corrected. What constitutes others for all cases is different from others in males or others in females .When both are combined they do not necessarily add up to 51.</p> <p>The same correction in table 2 applies here when you add the frequency of first ten to unknown and others it adds up to 100%.</p> <p>Yes this has been corrected. Yes this has been corrected</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>DISCUSSION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - L161- When you state “commonest in that order” – breast cancer, prostate cancer and lymphohaematopoetic (not cervix) - L176-177 – delete word tumours as you used word cancer - Spelling error – collated - L182- suggest to focus for aged 18-39, as discussion L200 provide similar meaning. Furthermore, majority of subjects from aged 40 to 64 years. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript Formatting – please follow the template</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Add number for every heading e.g. 1. INTRODUCTION, 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS and so on - Re-arrange the text below the heading - Justified your text – result section – L104-110, L113-115, L117-119, L122-125, L127-130, L136-139, L142-145 - Result table – remove the line above the table heading - Reference list- standardize the font style as in the text - L153 – word cancer? - Please re-check the spacing between the words, punctuations, comma, and spelling errors throughout the text. 	<p>Yes : This is effected.</p> <p>Yes it has been done. Yes this has been done.</p> <p>Yes this has been done. Yes this has been done</p>
Optional/General comments		Yes this has been done.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues.