



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_50496
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION BETWEEN OCULAR AXIAL LENGTH AND BODY MASS INDEX IN A BLACK POPULATION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Well written: IN measuring the visua acuity they did not state if it was a uncorrected or best corrected. In measuring the AL: the technique of measuring is not well described and they did not mention what they did when the AL was >28mm as regards staphyloma. They mentioned measuring ACD and then backed off They did not give the purpose a good explanation why there should be a relationship between AL and BMI 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Thank you Noted and corrected Noted and corrected, only normal eyes were included in the study Noted, not relevant and deleted Already done as explained in discussion
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues