



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_50756
Title of the Manuscript:	STAKEHOLDERS' VIEW OF SUSTAINABILITY OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES IN A RURAL AREA: THE CASE OF MUYUKA SUBDIVISION, CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Only references are to be adjusted. Before names of Authors (CAPITAL LETTERS), after title (always with same characters for all citations - no cubital letters, may be also corsive), and always provenience. So, for books, you have to write editor, pages, year. For journals, also volume, number, pages, year of publication. For Internet, the most informations possible about article present among references..	Noted with thanks
Optional/General comments	Interesting work based on a really dramatic emergency in this geographic area, and well described possible modalities of intervention too. Good final consideration and discussion Perhaps formula is too much complicate!	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	