



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_51209
Title of the Manuscript:	Death from neglected pituitary adenoma, a case report
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In this study the authors describe the case of a patient suffering from a pituitary macroadenoma complicated by hydrocephalus. The patient dies two hours after entering the hospital. The presence of hydrocephalus, in these cases, is a rather rare event, but still reported in the literature (Shenkin 1973, Rezaee 2014). In any case, it is important to underline the non-execution of neuroradiological examinations (CT scan, MRI) both in the clinical onset phase and during the brief hospitalization. It is clear that neuroradiological examinations would probably have saved the patient. Social and health aspects and structural deficiencies are certainly the basis of this event.	Yes, we agree and these have been included

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues in this research