



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_45545
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Nanotechnology: Analytical Techniques used and Applications
Type of the Article	Review Paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  Abstract           Introduction           The main body of the review           References	Change abstract to summary, abstract is mainly in original research article but not in systematic review. The question shall well frame in the summery section           All the subheadings in the introduction section shall be removed, each subheading shall be a standalone paragraph  Summarize the introduction to 2 or 3 pages           Each study included in the review; the study design, study duration sample size, technique and the statistical tool use for data analysis shall be well stated  The inclusion and exclusion criteria for selection of the published articles shall be well explained.  The criteria used for the assessing the quality of the articles shall be well stated  The strength and weaknesses of each selected article shall be well stated     Thorough work need to be done on references, the authors shall read the guidelines for referencing.	As commented, we incorporate the points raised by the reviewer. Abstract is changed to summary.           The subheadings in the introduction part was removed and the introduction is reduced to 2 pages in this revised version.           The paper is rearranged, but since it is review article, the stated point may not be included.  It is incorporated again.  It is modified again as much as possible.  The references were re-written again.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		