



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_50655
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of seed source, moisture content and duration of storage on the viability of Vitellaria paradoxa C.F. Gaertn
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please follow the journal's standard for referencing which requires you to use the numbering format not the style you used. This will also imply that all your references at the reference section should be numbered accordingly.</p> <p>Formating; All your manuscript revealed single line spacing except between Line 133 – 158. Kindly follow a uniform style.</p> <p>Line 145/146: I don't agree that in 2019 after about 31years(1988),Roberts will be an appropriate reference to sight,Another 1986 reference on line 162 and 174. Kindly look for recent research in the field.</p>	Numbering of the references is done.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 44: Something is missing in the phrase, rephrase please	It has been corrected. : the fallen ripe fruits were collected daily for a period of 7 days
Optional/General comments	Suggestion – Line 113: Table 3, why not make the letters superscripts it makes it look professional. This ofcourse will affect the note on line 130	Letters have been changed to superscripts as suggested.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:



SDI Review Form 1.6

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	