



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_47482
Title of the Manuscript:	Leaf litter decomposition in the sudano-guinea savannahs of Ngaoundere Cameroon: Patterns of decay rate as related to litter traits?
Type of the Article	Original Research paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract: Not necessary to list all the 24 plant species studied but just give the categories of species.</b></p> <p><b>Write IS, PC &amp; SM in full.</b></p> <p><b>Recommendations: Missing</b> Recommandations: manquant</p> <p><b>References: Use similar font and spacing throughout</b></p> <p><b>Table1: Categories of leaf litter of 24 species under study</b></p> <p><b>Table 3: LMR should be in full</b></p> <p><b>Appendix: Should be left out</b></p> <p><b>Spelling mistakes are many and should be corrected. Some are already indicated in the main text. The whole document should undergo spell check.</b></p>	<p>Okay. I gave the categories in abstract as recommended the reviewer: deciduous trees and shrubs; evergreen trees and shrubs, and grasses</p> <p>IS, PC and SM are written as recommended the reviewer (IS: Sclerophyllous index; PC: Phenolic compounds; SM: specific mass area)</p> <p>I don't understand. Which recommendations?</p> <p>References have been checked and corrected</p> <p>I don't understand. What type of categories does the reviewer recommend? Because in Table 1 the 24 species are given by categories such as deciduous trees and shrubs; evergreen trees and shrubs, and grasses</p> <p>LMR is correctly written in the table 3 as recommended the reviewer (Litter mass remaining (%)).</p> <p>Appendix is left out as recommended the reviewer</p> <p>The manuscript has been re-read and the mistakes have been corrected.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Title: Review title as follows: <b>Patterns of Leaf litter decomposition as related to litter traits in the sudano-guinea savannahs of Ngaoundere, Cameroon.</b></p> <p><b>General: A fairly good and informative paper which should be published after the above corrections</b> Général: Un article assez bon et informatif qui devrait être publié après les corrections ci-dessus</p>	<p>Title has been reviewed as recommended the reviewer as follows: <b>Patterns of Leaf litter decomposition as related to litter traits in the sudano-guinea savannahs of Ngaoundere, Cameroon.</b></p>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	