SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JALSI_49873**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Analysis of Phytochemicals Screening, Proximate and Elemental Analysis of Anacardium occidentale L Nuts and Carica papaya Seeds**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**THE PRESENT MANUSCRIPT DEALS WITH THE PROXIMATE AND NUTRITIONAL VALUE COMPARISON OF TWO EDIBLE MATERIALS ANACARDIUM OCCIDENTALE L NUTS AND CARICA PAPAYA SEEDS.** **IT IS AN INTERESTING STUDY AND PRESENTATION OF MANUSCRIPT WAS FOUND GOOD.** **SPELLING MISTAKES AND FORMATING ERRORS WERE FOUND THROUGHOUT THE MANUSCRIPT.** **MOST OF THE TIMES, THE PLANT NAMES ARE NOT GIVEN IN ITALIC. IT SHOULD BE CORRECTED.** **IF THESE CORRECTIONS WILL BE MADE, THE MANUSCRIPT MAY BE ACCEPTED.**	The manuscript has been modified
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	