SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Applied Life Sciences International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JALSI_49873**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Analysis of Phytochemicals Screening, Proximate and Elemental Analysis of Anacardium occidentale L Nuts and Carica papaya Seeds**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This manuscript is good in its contents and composition, only needs a little improvement**	Noted
Minor REVISION comments	**Keywords are usually arranged in alphabetical** **In 2.2 Elemental analysis, is it true that glycosides are analyzed with AAS ?** **Table 1, 2 & 3, usually the letters in the table are smaller than the text** **There is no discussion about Carica papaya seeds extracts**	The manuscript has been corrected
Optional/General comments	**Only two of the 24 references are less than 10 years old**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	