



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_50137
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Different Chemically Synthesized Silver nanoparticles on Nosocomial Infection
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This Manuscript need to be send for Scientific English Editing . its English of low standard. Discussion : the author should write more and show his/her opinion on the results compared to other	Done
Minor REVISION comments	Vancomycin mentioned in test but no data on table	Done
Optional/General comments	Conclusion not sufficient	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Yes this manuscript required ethical approval as the bacterial strain were collected from patients samples.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	Similarity was 23% accepted	Done
--	-----------------------------	------