



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_50805
Title of the Manuscript:	Trends in epidemiology, susceptibility pattern and serotypes of Salmonellae at a tertiary care hospital: An eight year study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>General Revision This paper is not original research article, but case study because authors mentioned we observed in line 17 and the reviewer cannot find out any originality of the paper. Concerning bacteriology, the authors are not professional.</p> <p>Individual Line 13 CLSI in full spelling. Line 18 Salmonella Typhi changes to Salmonella typhi in italic. Line 20 changes to Salmonella paratyphi in italic. Line 21 to Salmonella Serotype Typhimurium change to Salmonella serotype typhimurium in italic. Please change all bacteria name as shown above. Line 54 DENKA SEIKEN is the company's name in Japan, please supply equipment name or trade name from denkaseiken. Line 55,56 and 59 CRI, CLSI and WHONET are in full spellings.</p>	The corrections have been effected in the text
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	