



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_50850
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION AND ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE PROFILE OF PATHOGENIC BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM FRESHLY SOLD AMARANTHUS VIRIDIS IN ILE-IFE, SOUTHWEST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please check again typo in this manuscript 2. Please describe more depth about the antibiotics. 3. Are there different mechanisms for each antibiotic that is tried against bacterial development, so that bacteria show differences in resistance or susceptibility. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript has been checked for typos 2. Done and highlighted in yellow 3. There are different mechanisms of resistance of the isolates to the antibiotics based on the antibiotic resistant genes and plasmids they posses. This has been highlighted in yellow in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments	Could be published and need other explanation of my opinion (refer to "Minor REVISION comments")	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues in the manuscript