



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_50850
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION AND ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE PROFILE OF PATHOGENIC BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM FRESHLY SOLD AMARANTHUS VIRIDIS IN ILE-IFE, SOUTHWEST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. There is no need to present the obtained data as percentage (lines 15, 16; Table 2 and Fig.3); as this does not serve either their interpretation or general conclusions. The number of isolates in all cases would be very good. (23.33% for 7 of 21 isolates looks "pseudoscientific"....)</p> <p>2. Fig. 2 should be deleted, since it is incorrect to present the obtained data by connecting the data points with lines as if there are some intermediate values. It would be quite enough to indicate the number of isolates in the text (just three figures).</p>	<p>1. It has been corrected and highlighted in yellow.</p> <p>2. Fig.2 has been deleted.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues in this manuscript.