



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_48398</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Path Connectedness over Soft Rough Topological Space</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Improve the usage of English Language, since it is poor and it is not precise.</p> <p>Some terminology does not coincide with the one commonly used in scientific literature. As an example, a theorem known as "glueing lemma" in mathematical literature is named "pasting lemma". Remark 4.2 is wrong due to bad usage of English.</p>	<p>As for the term you mentioned, I have checked the two reference books Basic Topology by M.A.ARMSTRONG and JGeneral Topology by L.Kelley, in which I found the term "pasting lemma" is used instead of "glueing lemma".</p> <p>In addition, about the usage of English language, I have tried my best to make some corrections.</p> <p>Thanks for your reading!</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Too much symbols are used instead of plain English, that is the case of some quantifiers are used in assertions and proofs, with low logical rigor.	As for this, I think the symbols I used are much clearer and simpler for readers to catch my meaning, so I just want to keep them.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Explanation of results and applications.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	