



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_49867
Title of the Manuscript:	An epidemic model of malware virus with quarantine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This paper aims to examine the treatment effectiveness of mobile devices based on the type of malware infections accrued (hostile or malicious malware).</p> <p>Modify the abstract, exclude the 'presentation'.</p> <p>It is advisable if the author can analyse Figure 2, 3 and 4 in a Table and make conclusion on the result obtained from this 6 figures.</p> <p>The reference citation are not consistent, sometime use number (e.g [7,8] and sometimes use name). Please modify the citation.</p> <p>The reference should 70% consists of year 2015,2016,2017,2018 and 2019. But here it is mostly < 2015. Please update the reference.</p> <p>Overall, this paper is based on some good ideas and certain information are very useful. However some of the information should be rearranged and organize so that the useful finding can be conveyed easily to others researcher.</p>	<p><i>We appreciate the input and revision from the reviewer. We have addressed the reviewer's comments in the following:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>We have corrected the word "presentation" and replaced it "study."</i> <i>We have included a table summarizing the observations made from the figures (Table 2).</i> <i>We have corrected the references to be consistent throughout.</i> <i>We have included more recent references. However, there are a limited number of compartmental modelling focusing on the dynamics of computer virus.</i>
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	