



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_50575</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMPROVED MODEL FOR DETECTING FAKE PROFILES IN ONLINE SOCIAL NETWORK: A CASE STUDY OF TWITTER</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is one rare case of an article almost ready to publish as is. My only remarks are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) All figures and tables, particularly figures 1 to 3, have a poor quality. I suggest elimination of colour in all figures and tables as it turn the paper into a more solemn scientific work.</li> <li>2) Figure 4 seems displaced.</li> <li>3) Consider moving figures 4 to 6 into an annex.</li> <li>4) Revise minor formatting errors.</li> </ol>	All the corrections done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<b>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</b>	