



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_42810
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PLACENTAL LOCATION, BLOOD GROUP, GENOTYPE AND PARITY IN PORT HARCOURT WOMEN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Materials and Methods To describe the instruments employed to study blood group and genotype (producer name, city, state) References We suggest to increase the bibliography concerning placental location, foetal and newborn weight	Disagreed. Blood group and genotype could have been determined by test tube techniques based on agglutination tests and haemoglobin electrophoresis respectively. It was not us that determined the blood group and genotype of the subjects. Description of the instruments by mentioning the producers, city and state should therefore not be within the realm of contemplation considering that we did a retrospective study using medical records obtained from a particular health facility. Please how do I increase Bibliography giving that I cited references relevant to the study?
Minor REVISION comments	Conclusion (no in capital letter) We suggest that it would useful further studies evaluating also relationship between foetal and newborn weight	Agreed. Effected in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		