



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50476
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of PCOS with associated symptoms and complications at a Tertiary care Hospital of Karachi.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This research in determining the prevalence of PCOS in a tertiary hospital is an observational study.</p> <p>Introduction: Review and aims are satisfactory.</p> <p>Method: Needs to be clear as to how subjects were recruited and where they were recruited. The sample appears to be convenient-this should be stated and its inherent bias. Elaborate that the type of patient presenting (see comments) – for subfertility, menstrual irregularity, etc , that those fulfilling the criteria ..were recruited (for PCOS). These subjects were then evaluated for the variables ?demography and signs and symptoms (kindly see comments in text).</p> <p>Results.</p> <p>Presented satisfactorily . Comments related to the figures should be stated in narrative. Some of this appears under Discussion.</p> <p>Discussion: This section is well presented.</p> <p>Conlusions are acceptable.</p> <p>References.</p> <p>This requires much attention – the format needs to adhere to that of the Journal (Vancouver style).</p> <p>Limitations of the study and how sample size need to be stated.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	Methods requires major revision with sample size calculation and sampling technique.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	