



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50578
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation between lycopene intake and blood pressure in healthy adults from Celaya, Mexico
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		Thanks for tour time and your comments.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>(1). Please review to cross check some spellings, punctuations and grammerical errors, and correct them accordingly.</p> <p>(2). Endeavor to explain the contents of your tables and figures right under your Results, and not under your tables and figures, then make reference to the tables and figures as the explanations progresses.</p> <p>(3). "Tables & figures should be placed inside the text. They should be presented as per their appearance in the text. It is suggested that the discussion about the tables and figures should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective tables and figures". (Please see authors guidelines at www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/ authors-instruction, for further clarifications).</p> <p>(4). kindly separate your full discussion of this work from your result. This could be done either by placing them in a separate paragraphs, with the result first, or giving them separate headings.</p> <p>(5). "The discussion should not repeat the results, but provide detailed interpretation of data. This should interpret the significance of the findings of the work. Citations should be given in support of the findings". (Please see authors guidelines at www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/ authors-instruction, for further clarifications).</p>	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>All corrections are in yellow</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Good research article</p> <p>See manuscript</p> <p>Red highlights signifies: delete / make corrections</p> <p>Green highlights signifies: add / guide to correction</p>	<p>Corrected. But standar marke as grammar mistake by reviewer spelling of Word. I corrected the as suggested the reviewer</p> <p>Corrected</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
--	--------------------	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

		<i>feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	