



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50595
Title of the Manuscript:	ULTRASOUND MEASUREMENT OF THE ABDOMINAL AORTIC DIAMETER IN A NORMOTENSIVE AND HYPERTENSIVE ADULT NIGERIAN POPULATION IN ENUGU
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper is not carefully executed and not clearly presented. The paper represents the study of ultrasound measurement of the abdominal aortic diameter in a normotensive and hypertensive adult nigerian population in Enugu. I would recommend to change the literature style to be more coherent. You should unify the style of figures, tables and references (Table 1,3; figures 4,6,7). Charts of figures should indicate standard deviation. I advise you to rewrite or edit the abstract, and unify the description for all tables, references and figures.	The manuscript has been modified
Minor REVISION comments	The table 3 is difficult to follow.	
Optional/General comments	none	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	