



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50630
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of blood pressure measurement with two digital sphygmomanometers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Figures 1 and 2 have three panels each. The figure captions should include a brief explanation of each panel. Linear red line in Fig. 2, the first and the third panel, is removed from the Figure itself.</p> <p>For the sake of completeness, and since these categories were included in Table 2, the results for SBP, DBP and the corresponding wrist-to-arm difference should be presented as mean plus/minus std for each one of the categories: man,women, urban, suburban, rural. Also for the sake of completeness and to make the paper more informative, the groups in Table 3 should be split i.e. age 17-35 and age 35-51 and for each split the mean of SBP, DBP and w-to-arm difference should be presented. This would make a contribution more interesting and increase its value for a broader group of readers.</p>	<p>Thanks for your comments. The lines were corrected. We added a line explain figures 1 and 2.</p> <p>We added the table 4 comparing measures with wrist and arm baumanometers by gender, residence and eage group.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The font size in axis titles and tick labels of Figures 1 and 2 is too small to ensure proper visibility. It should be enlarged.</p>	<p>Corrected Thanks</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>This is an experimental work and authors measured the correlation (linear dependency) between the systolic/diastolic blood pressure obtained at arm and at wrist. The study is preformed methodically, taking all the relevant issues into account and using a sufficiently large group of subjects. The results were compared to the results of similar or complementary studies.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	