



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50692
Title of the Manuscript:	Empirical antibiotic prescription pattern among patients in a Nigerian tertiary hospital, Is there evidence of irrationality?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>References in text are NOT done to the style of the journal. References should be listed in brackets, [4].</p> <p>OBJECTIVES on line 61, 62 should be removed. OBJECTIVES should be stated once in the ABSTRACT.</p>	<p>Correction has been effected</p> <p>Objectives should ideally be part of the article, its only summarized in the abstracts</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Tables are useful for the article.</p> <p>Once revisions above are completed , the manuscript can be published</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	