



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50692
Title of the Manuscript:	Empirical antibiotic prescription pattern among patients in a Nigerian tertiary hospital, Is there evidence of irrationality?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	References in text are NOT done to the style of the journal. References should be listed in brackets, [4]. OBJECTIVES on line 61, 62 should be removed. OBJECTIVES should be stated once in the ABSTRACT.	Correction has been effected Objectives should ideally be part of the article, its only summarized in the abstracts
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Tables are useful for the article. Once revisions above are completed , the manuscript can be published	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	