



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50884
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of CPR knowledge among clinical radiography students in Nigeria: A case study of a tertiary institution
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The subject is relevant. The methodology approach the basic theoretical knowledge about CPR of clinical radiographic studies. It is not enough to determine if these students have ability to perform the CPR. Another point is that at the methodology, it is stated that is a prospective study. In really, it is a cross sectional study so it can't be a prospective study. Anyway, it shows a poor knowledge scenario that has to be corrected. This is the relevance of this study	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The prospective study has been replaced in the manuscript with Cross sectional study. 2. We agree that the methodology approach to evaluate the basic theoretical knowledge about CPR of clinical radiographic studies. However, our study was not to determine the student's ability to perform CPR.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>NO</p>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript. Ethical approval was obtained from the research ethics committee of the Radiography Department, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto.