



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_50884
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of CPR knowledge among clinical radiography students in Nigeria: A case study of a tertiary institution
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Editing throughout the Ms.	All typographic errors in the Ms has been effected
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript seems to be more of student education/training rather than an actual case-study or basic science study.	Yes, the manuscript is more of student education/training in a particular institution in Nigeria. However, all the institution in Nigeria are using the same curriculum for radiography training of students. Our study used one of this institution as case study in order to point out if there is a need to effect changes in the curriculum towards CPR training of radiography students. Although base on your comment, the title has been rephrase
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) It is assumed that the researchers have an IRB.	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript. Ethical approval was obtained from the research ethics committee of the Radiography Department, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto.