Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_50951**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Antitumor Potential of Antimicrobials: An Anticipated Armour For Hepatocellular Carcinoma**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The authors have conducted a review on interesting topic of antitumor potential of antimicrobials. But there are several deficiencies in the manuscript as listed below:** **1. Abstract – Only the last two sentences of abstract are related to the topic and the rest of it is giving general information about HCC which is not required. Instead, two sentences should be on HCC and rest about the antitumor potential of antimicrobials.** **2. Please add reference to this statement '**Moreover, cytotoxic analysis revealed that HepG2 treated with clarithromycin showed cytotoxicity of 24%, 23%, 28% and 29% at concentrations of 5, 12.5, 25 and 50 µgm/ml respectively, while azithromycin at the concentration of 50µgm/ml showed 29%.' **3. A table should be added highlighting the main *in vitro, in vivo* effects of each drug and whether any clinical trial is under process.** **4. The authors should provide their opinion regarding the future directions in which the research should go so as to develop a potent drug against HCC.** **ANSWERS TO THE COMMENTS:** **1. I have made amendments in abstract as per your advice. (highlighted)** **2. Reference has been added. (highlighted)** **3. To the best of our knowledge data is very scarce regarding in vivo studies and clinical trials done in the favor of antimicrobials for their anticancer effects. So, it is not possible for us to present any related data in tabular form.** **4. Incorporated. (highlighted)**	We have corrected the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments	Language editing by professional English-speaking personnel.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)***
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	