



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_50249
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of drug pharmacokinetics from breastfeeding: a simple method based on meta-analysis
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>- First mention of ARV should have been written in full.</p> <p>-Author(s) should recast the conclusion under abstract; it is different from writing conclusion under the body of the work.</p> <p>_ The author(s) should read the manuscript well and correct typographical and grammatical errors.</p> <p>-The formulas should be retyped using equation editor because some of the mathematical notation appear as picture and faint, while some were typed directly from the keyboard.</p> <p>-The bracket used for referencing should have been square bracket [] as against ()</p> <p>-The authors should check the reference style to be sure each reference conform to the journal's specifications.</p>	<p>antiretroviral therapy (ARV)</p> <p>Pharmacokinetics parameters of efavirenz and nevirapine are important to be determined in breastfed newborns. Correct typographical and grammatical were added</p> <p>Correct formulas were added</p> <p>Correct referencing were added</p> <p>Correct referencing were added</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	