



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_50574
Title of the Manuscript:	Gross Hematuria and Prostatic Cancer in Libyan Patients
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. Major correction on writing and English is necessary. What needs to be corrected are high-lighted by yellow colour and questions asked by reviewer are high-lighted by red colour.</p> <p>2. No need to include in detail of other studies and findings. It is to compare and just to refer them. However, please code properly. E.g in our study..... but in study by authors et al [ref] similar finding or contrast finding</p> <p>3. The studies look into the same outcome should be used to compare. Those which studied the bone metastases should not be compared with your study when your study did not include bone scintigraphy.</p> <p>4. English needs to be corrected.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is attached by highlighting red and yellow colours.</p>	The manuscript has been modified
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	