



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_48202
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnostic significance of Beclin-1 and Transforming growth factor $\beta$ (TGF- $\beta$ ) in Breast Cancer
Type of the Article	Original Research Papers

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The reported facts in general are not novel because the role of beclin-1 and TGF-beta in cancer is established. Why did the authors perform PCR analysis? This method appears not ideal as it does not allow discrimination between expression in tumor cells and in peritumoral cells. Furthermore, mRNA expression does not necessarily correspond to protein expression.	The novelty of the point is that we performed the work on sample from Egyptian female patients to declare the role of both markers in breast tissue together and study the correlation between them.  We took tissues samples from tumor cells and normal adjacent cell so we could test the ability of our markers to discriminate between expression in tumor cells and in peritumoral cells.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Language needs to be improved (PR, ER or HER sensitivity are not common used terms). The novelty and the gain in knowledge of this work need to be explained.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)  The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the NCI, Cairo University and was conducted according to the rules of Helsinki declaration for human studies. A Written informed consent was obtained from all study subjects.	Requirement of the reviewer was done