



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42286
Title of the Manuscript:	PARTICIPATORY VARIETIES SELECTION AND EVALUATION OF IMPROVED SWEET POTATO (Ipomoea Batatas (L.) VARIETIES ON-FARM AT DIFFERENT AGRO-ECOLOGIES IN WOLAITA ZONES
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The evaluation criteria for potato scores should be more detailed and standardized.	Sweetness, taste, flesh texture, color and aroma and water content
Minor REVISION comments	It would be a stronger story, if adding some biochemical measurements.	Sensory acceptance and preference tests were also applied. reported consuming sweet potatoes less than once a month Both sweet potato had high acceptability; with an average score of 10, 11, 12, 16, (A) and 17, 18 19, (B) out of a maximum of 20, without any significant differences in preference.
Optional/General comments		