



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50449
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF BIOFERTILIZERS IN COVER OF AND ITS EFFECTS ON ORGANIC MAIZE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>ABSTRACT</b> THE ABSTRACT REQUIRES TO BE RESTRUCTURED FROM THE POINT OF VIEW:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>METODOLOGICO: ORDERING TREATMENTS APPLIED, SPECIFYING THE VARIABLES AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS APPLIED TO THE DATA.</li> <li>Results: present the outstanding results depending on treatments in terms of numbers.</li> </ol> <p>Introduce Probability values (p) for height and maize yield. Add the following words to keywords: <i>biofertilizer, stem height, yield</i>.</p> <p><b>MATERIAL AND METHODS</b> Place of experiment Change "The geographic coordinates of the area Were 54°01'45 " W and 24° 31'42 " S, with average altitude of 420 m" to "The geographic coordinates of the area Were 54°01'45 " longitude and 24° 31 W '42' 'S latitude, with average altitude of 420 masl ".</p> <p>Providing weather information (temperature, precipitation, evapotranspiration). To publicize information on soil physical and chemical properties.</p> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b></p> <p>It was found that plant height, stem diameter, length and number of ear kernels per row did not differed statistically <b>add the probability value (p = ??)</b> from the control. For the parameters: height insertion of the first ear, thousand grain mass and productivity, the treatments differed from the Control. <b>add the probability value (p = ??)</b>. Avoid repeating units of measurement of the variables reported in Table 1 (see the title and the table). Add at the foot of the table 1 "ns = not significant (p&gt; 0.05)". The results are very limited for publication as an article. The results also were not discussed and interpreted widely. A restructuring of the results is required (resubmitted recommended).</p>	We appreciate all the comments and we tried to correct everything you suggested.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	