



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50536
Title of the Manuscript:	Dehydration of fermented manioc rasp
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Change the title ----- as effect of Dehydration of fermented manioc rasp manioc rasp Means ?? title is not clear</p> <p>Abstract – aim, Place and duration, study design, result and conclusion like this can be made with subheadings leave the space before mentioning of the units</p> <p>Introduction – Make the botanical nomenclature; references mentioned to be numbered)</p> <p>Material and Methods – leave the space before mentioning of the units; references mentioned to be numbered)</p> <p>Results and Discussions – leave the space before mentioning of the units; references mentioned to be numbered)</p> <p>Table 2 & 3 - References to be numbered, and the alphabets in column to be made superscript If you want to mention reference for table or fig., please number the reference</p> <p>References – to be arranged sequentially from introduction, method, discussion number wise</p>	Corrections made.
Minor REVISION comments	Make necessary corrections	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
--	--------------------	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

		<i>feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Thanks

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>