



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_50840
Title of the Manuscript:	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF COMMERCIAL MOTORCYCLES IN KATSINA METROPOLIS: IMPLICATIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What type of study or paper is this? 2. The way the references were cited doesn't conform with the authors guidelines. Citations not indicated with reference number. 3. Some of the grammars have to be refashioned. 4. The author/authors seem to do things their own way. 5. Was ethical approval sought? 6. Was there an informed consent: not stated? 7. Findings and results?? Tautological? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The paper is an original research paper from my thesis. 2. I have made the necessary corrections. 3. Noted and corrected. 4. Noted 5. Yes, ethical approval was sought from Katsina Local Government Council Chairman. Ward Councillors introduced the enumerators to respondents during the data collection exercise. Furthermore, Commercial Motorcycles Association in Katsina Metropolis was also contacted. 6. Yes, the consent of the respondents was sought. Respondents were fully and adequately informed about the research, and were assured that all the information they provided is for the purpose of the study and their confidentiality is assured. Also, respondents were told that they have right of refusal to participate in the survey, and can withdraw at any point during the survey. 7. Noted and corrected.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The authors did not follow the guidelines of the journal. Except this is a commentary.	I have gone through the guidelines and made all the necessary corrections.



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	