



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_48298
Title of the Manuscript:	Sanding and Shaping Characteristics of Gmelina arborea Grown in two Ecological Zones in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is coherent and very well written I have no major comments. However, addition of photographs of the sanded and shaped surfaces would improve the readability of the article.	Photographs have been added. Please see Figures 1, 2 and 3.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the fragment: "Davis [12] as reported in [1] indicated that..." most likely the proper reference number is [12] not [1] (introduction) In the text: "were 22.2% 71.1% and 86.7% respectively." please add a coma "were 22.2%, 71.1% and 86.7% respectively." (page 12, line 2 from the bottom) 	Issues raised has been addressed.
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	